EXHIBIT B

```
L465secC
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      SECURITIES and EXCHANGE
      COMMISSION,
 4
                     Plaintiff,
 5
                                                20 Civ. 10832 (AT)(SN)
                 V.
6
                                                Remote Proceeding
 7
      RIPPLE LABS, INC., et al.,
 8
                     Defendants.
9
                                                New York, N.Y.
10
                                                April 6, 2021
                                                2:00 p.m.
11
      Before:
12
                            HON. SARAH NETBURN,
13
                                                U.S. Magistrate Judge
14
15
                                 APPEARANCES
16
      SECURITIES and EXCHANGE COMMISSION
17
           Attorneys for Plaintiff SEC
      BY: JORGE G. TENREIRO
           DUGAN BLISS
18
           DAPHNA A. WAXMAN
19
           JON A. DANIELS
           LADAN STEWART
20
      CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON, LLP
21
           Attorneys for Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse
      BY: MATTHEW SOLOMON
22
      PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
           Attorneys for Defendant Christian A. Larsen
23
      BY: MARTIN FLUMENBAUM
24
           MICHAEL GERTZMAN
           MEREDITH DEARBORN
25
```

L465secC

clarity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Thank you everybody for your arguments. I appreciate them. As I have come to expect from this group of lawyers, they were excellent and the papers that you submitted as well were excellent. And I recognize that this is high-stakes litigation and that people are quite invested in the outcome of the issues including the individual defendants who face serious individual liability.

I have reviewed the letters and have listened carefully to the argument. I am going to grant, in large part, the defendant's motion. I think that the discovery related to Bitcoin and Ether is relevant. I think it is relevant to the Court's eventual analysis with respect to the Howey factors, but I also think it is relevant as to the objective review of defendants' understanding in thinking about the aiding and abetting charge or aiding and abetting count. I also think it is relevant to the fair notice defense that Ripple is raising. So, for all of those reasons, I think discovery into Bitcoin and Ether is appropriate and I am going to authorize it. going to authorize discovery both as to exclusively Bitcoin or Ether communications as well as XRP communications between the SEC and third-parties, and by that I am excluding all market participants and the other government agencies. I am not including SEC-to-SEC internal communications in that ruling. And so, the SEC is obligated to review the discovery request.